

Assignment Letter/Surat Tugas

No. : ASL/IBA/0780-IBPA/IULI/II/2022 **Date/Rev.** : 20 February 2022/ 00
From /Dari : Head of Department of International Business **Page** : 1 of 1
Administration / *Kepala Program Studi* **Doc Type** : Main Document
To / Kepada : *Administrasi Bisnis Internasional*
: Name Below / Nama dibawah ini

Duty Assignment / Tugas melaksanakan kegiatan

Assignment At INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY LIAISON INDONESIA	Penugasan di UNIVERSITAS LINTAS INTERNASIONAL INDONESIA
Head of Department of IBA of International University Liaison Indonesia In consideration of: His appointment as the Head of Department of IBA of International University Liaison Indonesia under agreement Nomor SK/REC/0671/IULI/XI/2021	<i>Kepala Program Studi IBA Universitas Lintas Internasional Indonesia</i> Mengingat: <i>Pengangkatannya sebagai Kepala Program Studi IBA Universitas Lintas Internasional Indonesia dibawah perjanjian Nomor SK/REC/0671/IULI/XI/2021</i>

Herewith gives the task to:

Dengan ini menugaskan kepada:

Name: **Dr. Samuel PD Anantadjaya**
Position: **Lecturer**Nama: **Dr. Samuel PD Anantadjaya**
Jabatan: **Dosen**

To provide the following activity:

Untuk mengikuti kegiatan:

No	Task/Tugas	Article/Artikel	SKS	Period/Periode	Journal/Jurnal
1.	Article Reviewer	Manuscript ID MBE-10-2021-0133.R1 entitled "An Empirical Study to Prioritize the Determinants of Corporate Sustainability Performance Using Analytic Hierarchy Process"	1	20 February 2022 – 20 March 2022	Invited by Dr. Jos van Iwaarden Editor, Measuring Business Excellence Measuring Business Excellence (Scopus-Indexed Journal) ISSN: 1368-3047
Total SKS			1		

* 1 SKS activity = 50 hour/ 1SKS Kegiatan = 50 Jam

Contoh/ Example:

If the fasilitator full for 3 day activity, the calculation of SKS is 3 day x 8 hour= 24 hour, plus preparation ± 12 hour, then the workload is $\{[(3 \text{ day} \times 8 \text{ hour}) + (12 \text{ hour})] / 50 \text{ hour}\} * 1 \text{ SKS} = 0.72 \text{ SKS}$ Jika fasilitator penuh untuk satu kegiatan selama 3 hari, maka perhitungannya menjadi 3 hari x 8jam, ditambah dengan persiapan ± 12jam maka beban kerja menjadi $\{[(3 \text{ hari} * 8 \text{ jam}) + (12 \text{ hari})] / 50 \text{ jam}\} * 1 \text{ SKS} = 0.72 \text{ SKS}$

The assignee shall accomplish the duty and responsible in line with the related guidelines and other regulation valid in IULI.

Penerima tugas harus menyelesaikan tugas dan tanggung jawab sesuai dengan petunjuk dan peraturan yang berlaku di IULI.

Assignor/Pemberi Tugas:


Ida Bagus Putu Aditya, ST., MM.
Kepala Program Studi IBA / Head of Department of IBA of International University Liaison Indonesia

Journal's Signature & Chop/Tanda tangan & Stempel Jurnal:


done with review as per instruction

March 7, 2022



S A M <ethan.eryn@gmail.com>

Invitation to Review for the Measuring Business Excellence

2 messages

Measuring Business Excellence <onbehalf@manuscriptcentral.com>

Sun, Feb 20, 2022 at 5:17 AM

Reply-To: josvaniwaarden.MBE@gmail.com

To: ethan.eryn@gmail.com, keeshondenkeeshonden@yahoo.com

19-Feb-2022

Dear Dr. Anantadjaya,

Manuscript ID MBE-10-2021-0133.R1 entitled "An Empirical Study to Prioritize the Determinants of Corporate Sustainability Performance Using Analytic Hierarchy Process" has been revised and re-submitted to the Measuring Business Excellence.

Earlier you were so kind to review the original manuscript of these authors. They have now been working on your valuable feedback. Could you take some time to assess if the revised manuscript meets your requirements as stated in your previous review report? This would help the authors very much and it would prevent delay in the publications process. Therefore, I invite you to review this manuscript. The abstract appears at the end of this letter. Please let me know as soon as possible if you will be able to accept my invitation to review. Please click the appropriate link below to automatically register your reply with our online manuscript submission and review system.

*** PLEASE NOTE: This is a two-step process. After clicking on the link, you will be directed to a webpage to confirm. ***

Agreed: https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/mbex?URL_MASK=4ab0bfff4861401a866973606d759e44

Declined: https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/mbex?URL_MASK=82208a70d08d49b195b39fc5226146cc

Unavailable: https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/mbex?URL_MASK=49a6a21a34ae4483895a1cf1954f683f

Once you accept my invitation to review this manuscript, you will be notified via e-mail about how to access Manuscript Central, our online manuscript submission and review system. You will then have access to the manuscript and reviewer instructions in your Reviewer Centre.

I realize that our expert reviewers greatly contribute to the high standards of the Journal, and I thank you for your present and/or future participation.

As an extra thank you all completed reviews will now receive complimentary access to Measuring Business Excellence.

Yours sincerely

Dr. Jos van Iwaarden

Editor, Measuring Business Excellence

josvaniwaarden.MBE@gmail.com

MANUSCRIPT DETAILS

TITLE: An Empirical Study to Prioritize the Determinants of Corporate Sustainability Performance Using Analytic Hierarchy Process

ABSTRACT:

The use of natural resources by organizations has a significant impact on society, resulting in business firms playing a vital role in developing sustainable development. As a result, corporate sustainability has become an integral aspect of a company's vision and policy in the modern days. Companies issue sustainability reports based on various criteria and metrics, thereby attempting to gain a competitive edge. The present study assesses and prioritizes the numerous factors that influence corporate sustainability performance.

The Analytic Hierarchy Process is used to prioritize the identified determinants of corporate sustainability performance based on the literature. Several experts from business and academia were engaged to build a comparison matrix for assessing the various aspects of corporate sustainability performance. As a result, various corporate sustainability determinants were determined and prioritized following an extensive literature review using the Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP).

The most critical component for companies is an economic indicator. Developing environmentally and socially responsible suggestions must be a priority for the organization's economic performance. Economic performance is believed to be most heavily influenced by innovation and in the other category like environmental and social performance are highly influenced by

environment management policies and shareholder responsibility respectively. Researchers' findings suggest that to promote sustainability, top executives should devote all of their attention to innovation, environment management policies and shareholder responsibility.

These studies are primarily concerned with developed countries. And the other fundamental constraint of the Analytic Hierarchical Process (AHP) study is that the rating scale adopted in the analysis is conceptual. Therefore, pairwise comparisons with a variety of indicators have the potential to introduce bias into the results.

This paper proposes a methodical and operational technique for selecting the ultimate priority of sustainability indicators when it comes to exploiting competitive information on business performance. The paper also recommended sustainability rating technique might be carried out once every six months to improve the overall quality and performance of the organization. The advantages of adopting and executing corporate sustainability are enormous, and managers must determine whether sustainability is profitable or not. This question prompts further inquiries. For example, what are the numerous critical determinants of corporate sustainability performance? Several scholars throughout the world are looking at it for this reason. As a result, it is essential to comprehend and prioritize the various determinants of corporate sustainability performance.

Measuring Business Excellence <onbehalf@manuscriptcentral.com>

Sun, Feb 20, 2022 at 5:18 AM

Reply-To: josvaniwaarden.MBE@gmail.com

To: ethan.eryn@gmail.com, keeshondenkeeshonden@yahoo.com

19-Feb-2022

Dear Dr. Anantadjaya,

Manuscript ID MBE-12-2021-0151.R1 entitled "Sustainable value creation and organizational performance in industrial manufacturing companies" has been revised and re-submitted to the Measuring Business Excellence.

Earlier you were so kind to review the original manuscript of these authors. They have now been working on your valuable feedback. Could you take some time to assess if the revised manuscript meets your requirements as stated in your previous review report? This would help the authors very much and it would prevent delay in the publications process. Therefore, I invite you to review this manuscript. The abstract appears at the end of this letter. Please let me know as soon as possible if you will be able to accept my invitation to review. Please click the appropriate link below to automatically register your reply with our online manuscript submission and review system.

*** PLEASE NOTE: This is a two-step process. After clicking on the link, you will be directed to a webpage to confirm. ***

Agreed: https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/mbex?URL_MASK=b69f21890d224c35a9b9cc794b9e7cb8

Declined: https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/mbex?URL_MASK=74f1ee0a9ee74df493acdf8460409e87

Unavailable: https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/mbex?URL_MASK=c08a7adc154f43f7afc9810fc07f3bc

Once you accept my invitation to review this manuscript, you will be notified via e-mail about how to access Manuscript Central, our online manuscript submission and review system. You will then have access to the manuscript and reviewer instructions in your Reviewer Centre.

I realize that our expert reviewers greatly contribute to the high standards of the Journal, and I thank you for your present and/or future participation.

As an extra thank you all completed reviews will now receive complimentary access to Measuring Business Excellence.

Yours sincerely

Dr. Jos van Iwaarden

Editor, Measuring Business Excellence

josvaniwaarden.MBE@gmail.com

MANUSCRIPT DETAILS

TITLE: Sustainable value creation and organizational performance in industrial manufacturing companies

ABSTRACT:

The study objective was to analyze the influence of sustainable value creation (composed of social, economic, and environmental dimensions) on organizational performance in Colombian industrial manufacturing companies.

The study had a sample of 1,572 companies belonging to the Colombian manufacturing industrial sector. These companies were consulted by the survey of Technological Development and Innovation in the Manufacturing Industry EDIT IX. For the study purpose, a model was developed from a variance-based structural equation modeling or partial least squares, PLS-SEM.

The results indicated that the associated mechanisms of the social, economic, and environmental dimensions contribute in a significant, positive, and large way to the creation of sustainable value for the companies studied. The findings show the

3/7/22, 10:20 AM

Gmail - Invitation to Review for the Measuring Business Excellence

importance of the social, economic, and environmental dimensions in the creation of sustainable value and, in turn, their influence on organizational performance.

This study provides an understanding of the value capture mechanisms of small and medium-sized companies considering the environmental needs of the territory and the community where the business activities take place while generating economic profitability for the other stakeholders.

MBE-10-2021-0133.R1 - [View Abstract](#) - [View Author's Response](#)

An Empirical Study to Prioritize the Determinants of Corporate Sustainability Performance Using Analytic Hierarchy Process



***Do you want to get recognition for this review on [Publons](#) ?**


Don't let your reviewing work go unnoticed! Researchers the world over use Publons to effortlessly track their valuable peer review contributions for any journal. If you opt in, your Publons profile will automatically be updated to show a verified record of this review in full compliance with the journal's review policy. If you don't have a Publons profile, you will be prompted to create a free account. [Learn more about Publons](#)

Yes

No


*** 1. Originality: Does the paper contain new and significant information adequate to justify publication?**

yes

A rectangular text input field with a thin border. The word "yes" is typed in the top-left corner. In the bottom-right corner, there is a small icon consisting of two parallel diagonal lines, indicating that the text can be edited.

*** 2. Relationship to Literature: Does the paper demonstrate an adequate understanding of the relevant literature in the field and cite an appropriate range of literature sources? Is any significant work ignored?**

yes

A rectangular text input field with a thin border. The word "yes" is typed in the top-left corner. In the bottom-right corner, there is a small icon consisting of two parallel diagonal lines, indicating that the text can be edited.

*** 3. Methodology: Is the paper's argument built on an appropriate base of theory, concepts, or other ideas? Has the research or equivalent intellectual work on which the paper is based been well designed? Are the methods employed appropriate?**

- there were 11 specialists, but now there were 15 specialists though the majority is from companies in India. I truly wonder which ones were used/assumed to represent "local" and how many were used/assumed to represent "global"? This remains a mystery within those specialists and tying the results into the "local" and "global" issues

- it should be much better to conform to "proportional" specialists to represent "local" and "global"

*** 4. Results: Are results presented clearly and analysed appropriately? Do the conclusions adequately tie together the other elements of the paper?**

appears much better now

*** 5. Implications for research, practice and/or society: Does the paper identify clearly any implications for research, practice and/or society? Does the paper bridge the gap between theory and practice? How can the research be used in practice (economic and commercial impact), in teaching, to influence public policy, in research (contributing to the body of knowledge)? What is the impact upon society (influencing public attitudes, affecting quality of life)? Are these implications consistent with the findings and conclusions of the paper?**

- with the proportionately invited/interviewed specialists, the results are much more applicable and usable for industries

- managerial implications may have to be elaborated a bit, particularly on the potential costly consequences on planet & environmental factors. The economic factor does not have to be further explained as it is obviously clear for local and global firms. However, the factors on planet & environmental are totally questionable,

*** 6. Quality of Communication: Does the paper clearly express its case, measured against the technical language of the field and the expected knowledge of the journal's readership? Has attention been paid to the clarity of expression and readability, such as sentence structure, jargon use, acronyms, etc.**

appears OK

*** Recommendation**

- Accept
- Minor Revision
- Major Revision
- Reject

Confidential Comments to the Co-Editor

ΩSpecial Characters

I am still struggling to understand the "local" vs "global" versions on sustainability if the author(s) was/were only involving majority of specialists from Indian firms

*Comments to the Author

ΩSpecial Characters

please have the proportional interviewees and NOT only the majority from Indian firms to actually support the sole purpose of this paper, which was bringing-out the "differences" between "local" and "global" sustainability