Name of Student:Huger Dhanu AnggoroName of Examiner:Yulita F. Susanti Ph.D

		Unsatisfactory Range: 50 - 60	Satisfactory Range: 61 - 84	Exemplary Range: 85 - 100	Score Range: 50 - 100	tidak diisi
Contents (70%)		Range: 50 - 00	hunge. 01 - 04	hunge: 05 - 100	hunge. 50 - 100	that and
1	Research Background (15%)	Has stated Information that has little to do with or does not cover topic.	Information clearly covers the topic, but not captivating. The examples are provided in general.	Has stated Comprehensive information, Clear, focused, interesting topics, it includes several supporting details/examples	90	13.5
2	Research Gap (10%)	Has stated research gap, research problems and research questions unclearly or not stated at all, providing low level of need for study.	Has stated research gap, research problems and research questions adequately, providing adequate levels of need for study.	Has stated research gap, research problems and research questions clearly, providing a high level of need for study.	89	8.9
3	Theoretical Review (15%)	Little or no reference is found throughout the works.	Textbooks are used for building the research framework, however no previous research from any journal- article is referred.	Textbooks and relevant journal-articles are used to support the research framework; at least one previous study is used to build the research model.	90	13.5
4	Research Methods (15%)	The research strategy is defined but lack of a research model, sampling design, and data collection method. The strategy need improvements.	The research strategy, research model, and sampling design are clearly defined and explained.	The research is well executed with an excellent data collection process. Each step in the research is well documented and reported.	90	13.5
5	Alignment between topic & content (10%)	Little or no alignment between topic and content.	The research questions are aligned with the topic; research models can reflex the topic's problems.	In addition to alignment in the research questions and the models; conclusions, recommendations, and further research proposals answer the topic's problems.	90	9
6	Format of Paper & References (5%)	Not following the guidelines from IPMI (no formatting at all). Has below 14 references.	Following the guidelines from IPMI (Format, etc.) but found some errors has 15-20 references.	Following the guidelines from IPMI (Format, etc.) has more than 21 references	90	4.5
		TOTAL CONTENT (	70%)	•	62.88333333	62.9
Presentation (3	<b>9%)</b> Format of Presentation (5%)	Presentation slides are not well organized. Uses small fonts and unreadable graphics.	Presentation slides are well organized, using appropriate fonts and graphics.	Illustrations are used very well. Slides are neat and eye-catching.	92	4.6
2	Clarity (10%)	The content does not describe the thesis appropriately.	The content describes some facts and interpretations, however it needs improvements.	The content focuses on the important facts and interpretations. Results of the study are well described and inspiring.	90	9
3	Individual Performance (15%)	The presenter is nervous and not able to deliver the content properly. The presenter often looks at his/her notes instead of trying to build trust from the audience.	The presenter deliver the content properly, however he/she depends on his/her notes and in many occasions ignore eye contacts.	The presenter is able to deliver a lucid presentation. His/her presentation is inspiring and he/she is able to keep enthusiasm of the audience.	91	13.65
		TOTAL PRESENTAT	TION		27.3 90.18333333	27.25 90.18333333

#### TOTAL PROPOSAL DEFENSE GRADE

	TOTAL GRADE FROM EXAMINERS	AVERAGE
		AVERAGE
Chairperson	90.18333333	
Mentor	90.83333333	
Mentor 2	88.9	
Examiner	90.13333333	90.0125

IPMI INT	Grade definitions		
Grade	Score*)	Raw Score	Grade definitions
A+	4.00	95 – 100	Superior Achievement
А	4.00	90 - 94	
A-	3.70	85 – 89	
B+	3.30	80 - 84	Satisfactorily demonstrated potentiality for professional achievement in field of study
В	3.00	75 – 79	
В-	2.70	70 – 74	
C+	2.30	65 – 69	Passed the course but did not do work indicative of potentiality for professional achievement in field of study
С	2.00	60 - 64	
C-	1.70	<60 - Fail	Is assigned when a student simply has not performed adequately to meet the minimal standards of the Faculty. (FAIL)

#### Name of Student: Name of Examiner:

		Unsatisfactory	Satisfactory	Exemplary	Score	
Contents (	70%)	Range: 50 - 60	Range: 61 - 84	Range: 85 - 100	Range: 50 - 100	tidak diisi
1	Research Background (15%)	Has stated Information that has little to do with or does not cover topic.	Information clearly covers the topic, but not captivating. The examples are provided in general.	Has stated Comprehensive information, Clear, focused, interesting topics, it includes several supporting details/examples	90	13.5
2	Research Gap (10%)	Has stated research gap, research problems and research questions unclearly or not stated at all, providing low level of need for study.	Has stated research gap, research problems and research questions adequately, providing adequate levels of need for study.	Has stated research gap, research problems and research questions clearly, providing a high level of need for study.	90	9
3	Theoretical Review (15%)	Little or no reference is found throughout the works.	Textbooks are used for building the research framework, however no previous research from any journal- article is referred.	Textbooks and relevant journal-articles are used to support the research framework; at least one previous study is used to build the research model.	92	13.8
4	Research Methods (15%)	The research strategy is defined but lack of a research model, sampling design, and data collection method. The strategy need improvements.	The research strategy, research model, and sampling design are clearly defined and explained.	The research is well executed with an excellent data collection process. Each step in the research is well documented and reported.	90	13.5
5	Alignment between topic & content (10%)	Little or no alignment between topic and content.	The research questions are aligned with the topic; research models can refiex the topic's problems.	In addition to alignment in the research questions and the models; conclusions, recommendations, and further research proposals answer the topic's problems.	90	9
6	Format of Paper & References (5%)	Not following the guidelines from IPMI (no formatting at all). Has below 14 references.	Following the guidelines from IPMI (Format, etc.) but found some errors has 15-20 references.	Following the guidelines from IPMI (Format, etc.) has more than 21 references	90	4.5
		TOTAL CONTEN	T (70%)		63.23333333	63.3
Presentati	on (30%)					
1	Format of Presentation (5%)	Presentation slides are not well organized. Uses small fonts and unreadable graphics.	Presentation slides are well organized, using appropriate fonts and graphics.	Illustrations are used very well. Slides are neat and eye-catching.	92	4.6
2	Clarity (10%)	The content does not describe the thesis appropriately.	The content describes some facts and interpretations, however it needs improvements.	The content focuses on the important facts and interpretations. Results of the study are well described and inspiring.	92	9.2
	Individual	The presenter is nervous and not able to deliver the content properly. The presenter often looks at	depends on his/her	The presenter is able to deliver a lucid presentation. His/her presentation is inspiring	92	5.2
3	Performance (15%)	his/her notes instead of trying to build trust from the audience.	notes and in many occasions ignore eye contacts.	and he/she is able to keep enthusiasm of the audience.		13.8
3		his/her notes instead of trying to build trust from	occasions ignore eye contacts.	enthusiasm of the	27.6	13.8

IPMI INT	Grade definitions		
Grade	Score*)	Raw Score	Grade deminitions
A+	4.00	95 – 100	Superior Achievement
A	4.00	90 - 94	
A-	3.70	85 – 89	
B+	3.30	80 - 84	Satisfactorily demonstrated potentiality for professional achievement in field of study
В	3.00	75 – 79	
В-	2.70	70 – 74	
C+	2.30	65 – 69	Passed the course but did not do work indicative of potentiality for professional achievement in field of study
С	2.00	60 - 64	
C-	1.70	<60 - Fail	Is assigned when a student simply has not performed adequately to meet the minimal standards of the Faculty. (FAIL)

#### Name of Student: Huger Dhanu Anggoro Name of Examiner: Assoc Prof. Dr. Leonnard Ong, SE, M.Comm, CDM

		Unsatisfactory Range: 50 - 60	Satisfactory Range: 61 - 84	Exemplary Range: 85 - 100	Score Range: 50 - 100	tidak diisi
Contents (	70%)			1		
1	Research Background (15%)	Has stated Information that has little to do with or does not cover topic.	Information clearly covers the topic, but not captivating. The examples are provided in general.	Has stated Comprehensive information, Clear, focused, interesting topics, it includes several supporting details/examples	88	13.2
2	Research Gap (10%)	Has stated research gap, research problems and research questions unclearly or not stated at all, providing low level of need for study.	Has stated research gap, research problems and research questions adequately, providing adequate levels of need for study.	Has stated research gap, research problems and research questions clearly, providing a high level of need for study.	88	8.8
3	Theoretical Review (15%)	Little or no reference is found throughout the works.	Textbooks are used for building the research framework, however no previous research from any journal- article is referred.	Textbooks and relevant journal-articles are used to support the research framework; at least one previous study is used to build the research model.	90	13.5
4	Research Methods (15%)	The research strategy is defined but lack of a research model, sampling design, and data collection method. The strategy need improvements.	The research strategy, research model, and sampling design are clearly defined and explained.	The research is well executed with an excellent data collection process. Each step in the research is well documented and reported.	89	13.35
5	Alignment between topic & content (10%)	Little or no alignment between topic and content.	The research questions are aligned with the topic; research models can reflex the topic's problems.	In addition to alignment in the research questions and the models; conclusions, recommendations, and further research proposals answer the topic's problems.	89	8.9
6	Format of Paper & References (5%)	Not following the guidelines from IPMI (no formatting at all). Has below 14 references.	Following the guidelines from IPMI (Format, etc.) but found some errors has 15-20 references.	Following the guidelines from IPMI (Format, etc.) has more than 21 references	90	4.5
	(2004)	TOTAL CONTEN	T (70%)		62.3	62.25
Presentati 1	Format of Presentation (5%)	Presentation slides are not well organized. Uses small fonts and unreadable graphics.	Presentation slides are well organized, using appropriate fonts and graphics.	Illustrations are used very well. Slides are neat and eye-catching.	88	4.4
2	Clarity (10%)	The content does not describe the thesis appropriately.	The content describes some facts and interpretations, however it needs improvements.	The content focuses on the important facts and interpretations. Results of the study are well described and inspiring.	90	9
3	Individual Performance (15%)	The presenter is nervous and not able to deliver the content properly. The presenter often looks at his/her notes instead of trying to build trust from the audience.	The presenter deliver the content properly, however he/she depends on his/her notes and in many occasions ignore eye contacts.	The presenter is able to deliver a lucid presentation. His/her presentation is inspiring and he/she is able to keep enthusiasm of the audience.	88	13.2
				,	26.6	
		TOTAL PRESENT			26.6	26.6

TOTAL	88.9	13.335

IPMI IN	Grade definitions		
Grade	Score*)	Raw Score	Grade deminitions
A+	4.00	95 – 100	Superior Achievement
А	4.00	90 – 94	
A-	3.70	85 – 89	
B+	3.30	80 – 84	Satisfactorily demonstrated potentiality for professional achievement in field of study
В	3.00	75 – 79	
B-	2.70	70 – 74	
C+	2.30	65 – 69	Passed the course but did not do work indicative of potentiality for professional achievement in field of study
С	2.00	60 - 64	
C-	1.70	<60 - Fail	Is assigned when a student simply has not performed adequately to meet the minimal standards of the Faculty. (FAIL)

Name	e of	Stι	ident
		-	

ent: Huger Dhanu Anggoro Name of Examiner: Prof Dedi Fardiaz

		Unsatisfactory	Satisfactory	Exemplary	Score	tidak diini
Contents (	70%)	Range: 50 - 60	Range: 61 - 84	Range: 85 - 100	Range: 50 - 100	tidak diisi
1	Research Background (15%)	Has stated Information that has little to do with or does not cover topic.	Information clearly covers the topic, but not captivating. The examples are provided in general.	Has stated Comprehensive information, Clear, focused, interesting topics, it includes several supporting details/examples	90	13.5
2	Research Gap (10%)	Has stated research gap, research problems and research questions unclearly or not stated at all, providing low level of need for study.	Has stated research gap, research problems and research questions adequately, providing adequate levels of need for study.	Has stated research gap, research problems and research questions clearly, providing a high level of need for study.	88	8.8
3	Theoretical Review (15%)	Little or no reference is found throughout the works.	Textbooks are used for building the research framework, however no previous research from any journal- article is referred.	Textbooks and relevant journal-articles are used to support the research framework; at least one previous study is used to build the research model.	90	13.5
4	Research Methods (15%)	The research strategy is defined but lack of a research model, sampling design, and data collection method. The strategy need improvements.	The research strategy, research model, and sampling design are clearly defined and explained.	The research is well executed with an excellent data collection process. Each step in the research is well documented and reported.	88	13.2
5	Alignment between topic & content (10%)	Little or no alignment between topic and content.	The research questions are aligned with the topic; research models can reflex the topic's problems.	In addition to alignment in the research questions and the models; conclusions, recommendations, and further research proposals answer the topic's problems.	90	9
6	Format of Paper & References (5%)	Not following the guidelines from IPMI (no formatting at all). Has below 14 references.	Following the guidelines from IPMI (Format, etc.) but found some errors has 15-20 references.	Following the guidelines from IPMI (Format, etc.) has more than 21 references	90	4.5
Duccentet		TOTAL CONTEN	Г (70%)		62.53333333	62.5
Presentati	Format of Presentation (5%)	Presentation slides are not well organized. Uses small fonts and unreadable graphics.	Presentation slides are well organized, using appropriate fonts and graphics.	Illustrations are used very well. Slides are neat and eye-catching.	92	4.6
2	Clarity (10%)	The content does not describe the thesis appropriately.	The content describes some facts and interpretations, however it needs improvements.	The content focuses on the important facts and interpretations. Results of the study are well described and inspiring.	90	
2	Clarity (10%) Individual Performance (15%)	describe the thesis	some facts and interpretations, however it needs	important facts and interpretations. Results of the study are well	90 94	9
	Individual	describe the thesis appropriately. The presenter is nervous and not able to deliver the content properly. The presenter often looks at his/her notes instead of trying to build trust from	some facts and interpretations, however it needs improvements. The presenter deliver the content properly, however he/she depends on his/her notes and in many occasions ignore eye	important facts and interpretations. Results of the study are well described and inspiring. The presenter is able to deliver a lucid presentation. His/her presentation is inspiring and he/she is able to keep enthusiasm of the		

TOTAL 90.1333333 13.52	TOTAL	90.13333333	13.52
------------------------	-------	-------------	-------

IPMI IN	Grade definitions		
Grade	Score*)	Raw Score	Grade definitions
A+	4.00	95 – 100	Superior Achievement
А	4.00	90 – 94	
A-	3.70	85 – 89	
B+	3.30	80 – 84	Satisfactorily demonstrated potentiality for professional achievement in field of study
В	3.00	75 – 79	
В-	2.70	70 – 74	
C+	2.30	65 – 69	Passed the course but did not do work indicative of potentiality for professional achievement in field of study
С	2.00	60 – 64	
C-	1.70	<60 - Fail	Is assigned when a student simply has not performed adequately to meet the minimal standards of the Faculty. (FAIL)